



Standardizing Cost Reduction Models Across SAP-Based Financial Planning Systems in Multinational Operations

John Oluwaseun Olajide¹, Bisayo Oluwatosin Otokiti², Sharon Nwani³,
Adebanji Samuel Ogunmokun⁴, Bolaji Iyanu Adekunle⁵, Joyce Efekpogua Fiemotongha⁶

¹Lipton, USA

²Department of Business and Entrepreneurship, Kwara State University

³Migo, Lagos, Nigeria

⁴United Utilities, UK

⁵Data Scientist, GSFEN Limited, Nigeria

⁶Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: johnolajide04@gmail.com

Article Info

Volume 5, Issue 2

Page Number : 150-163

Publication Issue :

March-April-2022

Article History

Received : 01 March 2022

Published : 17 March 2022

Abstract

This paper addresses the critical need for standardizing cost reduction models within SAP-based financial planning systems in multinational enterprises. Variations in cost management approaches across global subsidiaries often result in inefficiencies, data inconsistencies, and compliance risks, undermining financial control and operational agility. By analyzing SAP's financial modules and the challenges of multinational contexts, this study proposes a unified framework grounded in principles of cost accounting, process standardization, and cross-border financial harmonization. The designed model incorporates clearly defined cost drivers, KPIs, benchmarking templates, and automation rules configured within SAP, supporting consistent and transparent cost optimization. A phased implementation strategy that balances global standardization with local adaptability is outlined, emphasizing stakeholder engagement, change management, and governance. Furthermore, risk mitigation and performance monitoring mechanisms are integrated to ensure system resilience and sustained financial improvements. The paper concludes with implications for enhanced scalability, predictability, and cross-functional collaboration in multinational financial planning and highlights future research avenues, including AI-driven cost optimization and hybrid ERP integrations.

Keywords : SAP Financial Planning, Cost Reduction Standardization, Multinational Enterprises, Financial Governance, Process Automation, Cross-Border Financial Harmonization

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems have become foundational in modern corporate finance management, with SAP emerging as the global leader in integrating various financial, operational, and strategic functions [1]. Within multinational enterprises, SAP-based financial planning systems facilitate centralized budgeting, forecasting, and reporting by unifying data streams across geographies [2]. These platforms offer functionalities that include cost center accounting, profit center planning, and integrated business planning [3]. This high level of integration ensures real-time visibility into financial performance, which is critical for timely and informed decision-making across complex global structures [4].

In a globalized business environment marked by increasing competition and fluctuating economic conditions, cost reduction is no longer a tactical measure but a strategic imperative [5]. Multinational operations face unique challenges such as exchange rate volatility, cross-border tax regimes, and varied regulatory environments that elevate the need for robust financial planning and control mechanisms [6]. As such, leveraging standardized systems to achieve cost efficiency has become a core pursuit among international corporations. Effective cost management is essential not only for protecting profit margins but also for sustaining operational agility and shareholder value [7].

Despite the capabilities of ERP platforms, many organizations struggle to align cost reduction strategies uniformly across their subsidiaries. While regional offices may deploy ad hoc or localized cost-saving initiatives, these efforts often lack coherence, leading to inefficiencies and fragmented data insights [8]. The absence of a unified model across financial planning systems leads to redundancy, underutilization of technology, and missed opportunities for strategic optimization. This underscores the urgency of developing and institutionalizing a standardized framework tailored to the needs of multinational operations leveraging SAP [9].

1.2 Problem Statement and Objectives

While SAP provides a flexible and powerful architecture for managing enterprise finances, organizations often fail to exploit its full potential for cost optimization. The lack of consistency in cost modeling across business units hampers the ability to execute cohesive financial strategies. Disparate regional practices, driven by local management preferences, compliance obligations, or market pressures, lead to non-standardized cost planning methodologies. This not only reduces visibility and comparability but also introduces inefficiencies in resource allocation, ultimately impacting the organization's global competitiveness.

The central problem lies in the absence of a unified cost reduction model that can be implemented across varied subsidiaries without undermining local adaptability. Many multinationals attempt to reconcile this

through ad hoc reporting templates or integration plug-ins, but these typically fall short of delivering scalable, standardized, and effective cost control. These inconsistencies hinder the enterprise's ability to track global cost performance accurately and reduce responsiveness to economic shifts or strategic reorientation. The result is an over-reliance on manual intervention and a proliferation of non-reconcilable data points.

The primary objective of this study is to develop a standardized framework that enables multinational corporations to implement uniform cost optimization practices within their financial planning environments. The proposed model aims to align operational efficiency with strategic objectives by leveraging the core functionalities of SAP. This research seeks to identify the barriers to standardization, evaluate existing practices, and recommend an integrated model that accommodates local needs while maintaining global consistency. Ultimately, the goal is to enhance cost control, transparency, and decision-making efficiency across all business units.

1.3 Research Scope and Methodology

This study is designed to address the cost optimization challenges faced by multinational organizations operating across multiple regulatory, cultural, and economic contexts. It focuses on companies that have deployed SAP-based financial planning systems across at least three international regions. The research considers industries with high operational complexity, such as manufacturing, pharmaceuticals, and logistics, where the interplay between fixed and variable costs is critical to financial performance. By narrowing the scope to these sectors, the study ensures the relevance and applicability of the proposed model to real-world business environments with intricate cost structures.

Geographically, the research encompasses operations spanning North America, Europe, Asia-Pacific, and Africa, reflecting the diverse financial planning practices and compliance requirements that multinational firms must navigate. The study acknowledges that the feasibility of standardization is affected by regional legislation, labor cost dynamics, and market volatility. Therefore, the framework proposed will incorporate localization parameters while emphasizing a unified strategic direction. This balance between standardization and contextual adaptation is essential for practical implementation and long-term success.

Methodologically, the research is anchored in a mixed-methods approach. A comprehensive literature review will be conducted to assess existing models of cost reduction within ERP environments. This will be followed by qualitative case studies of multinational enterprises that have attempted to standardize their financial planning processes. Structured interviews with finance and IT executives will supplement the data, providing insight into practical constraints and enabling factors. Finally, a conceptual model will be designed, tested against best practices, and evaluated for scalability, sustainability, and operational compatibility within SAP systems.

2. SAP-Based Financial Planning Systems

2.1 Overview of SAP Financial Planning Modules

SAP's financial planning ecosystem is anchored by several key modules designed to provide comprehensive financial management and planning capabilities [10]. Among the most critical components is S/4HANA Finance, which acts as the core ERP engine, integrating financial transactions, general ledger accounting, and financial closing processes [11]. Its in-memory database architecture enables real-time processing and reporting, which significantly enhances the agility and accuracy of financial planning. S/4HANA's design supports complex financial operations across multiple legal entities and currencies, making it well-suited for multinational enterprises that require consolidated financial visibility [12].

Complementing S/4HANA Finance is SAP Business Planning and Consolidation (BPC), a specialized tool focused on budgeting, forecasting, and financial consolidation [13]. BPC allows organizations to create detailed financial plans aligned with strategic goals, leveraging multidimensional data models that incorporate both historical performance and predictive analytics [14]. The module is highly configurable and supports collaborative planning processes, enabling finance teams to synchronize their efforts across various departments and regions. Integration with S/4HANA ensures consistency in data flow and financial reporting, which is crucial for standardized cost management [15].

Another pivotal module is Controlling-Profitability Analysis (CO-PA), which offers granular insights into cost and revenue structures. CO-PA enables businesses to analyze profitability by product line, customer segment, or region, thereby informing targeted cost reduction strategies [16]. This module supports both costing-based and account-based profitability approaches, offering flexibility in how enterprises assess financial performance. Integration of CO-PA with other SAP modules creates a holistic financial planning environment where operational and financial data converge, enabling more accurate forecasting and more effective cost controls [17].

2.2 Challenges in Multinational Operations

Operating SAP-based financial planning systems in a multinational context introduces several complex challenges, starting with regional regulatory variations. Each country enforces distinct accounting standards, tax codes, and reporting requirements, which complicates the harmonization of financial data across borders [18]. For instance, compliance with IFRS in Europe may differ substantially from adherence to US GAAP or local tax laws in Asia or Africa. These differences necessitate frequent adjustments and localized configurations within the SAP environment to maintain legal and fiscal compliance, which increases implementation complexity and costs [19].

Currency conversion is another significant challenge in multinational financial planning. SAP systems must accommodate multiple currencies and fluctuating exchange rates, affecting both budgeting and actual cost reporting [7]. The volatility of foreign exchange markets can lead to discrepancies between planned and actual figures, complicating the accuracy of financial forecasts [20]. Moreover, tax implications such as transfer pricing rules and cross-border taxation further impact the consolidation of

costs and profitability. These factors require advanced system setups and controls to ensure that multinational enterprises maintain transparency and compliance in their cost reduction initiatives [21].

Localization issues extend beyond regulatory and currency complexities to include cultural and organizational differences [22]. Multinational corporations often face diverse operational practices, reporting timelines, and data management approaches within different subsidiaries. These variations can hinder the standardization of processes and data flows within SAP systems [23]. Furthermore, language barriers and varying levels of technological maturity across regions may slow down the implementation and optimization of financial planning models. Such challenges underscore the importance of designing adaptable, yet standardized, frameworks that can accommodate local nuances without sacrificing global consistency [24].

2.3 Limitations of Current Cost Reduction Practices

Despite the advanced capabilities of SAP financial modules, many multinational organizations continue to experience fragmentation in their cost reduction efforts. One fundamental limitation is the prevalence of fragmented approaches, where different subsidiaries independently develop cost-saving initiatives without alignment to a corporate-wide strategy. This siloed approach results in inefficiencies, duplication of efforts, and difficulty in aggregating meaningful insights at the global level. Without a cohesive framework, cost reduction remains tactical rather than strategic, reducing its overall impact on the enterprise's financial health.

Data silos further exacerbate these limitations. While SAP enables integrated data management, inconsistencies in data entry, process adherence, and reporting standards across regions can lead to disparate datasets that are difficult to reconcile. These silos hinder the organization's ability to perform accurate cross-entity financial analysis or benchmarking, which are essential for identifying cost-saving opportunities. In some cases, reliance on manual data extraction and consolidation increases the risk of errors and delays in financial reporting, undermining the responsiveness of the cost management function [25].

Moreover, the lack of process standardization is a critical barrier to effective cost control. Even within SAP environments, varying workflows, approval mechanisms, and performance metrics lead to inconsistent application of cost reduction practices. This inconsistency complicates the measurement of cost efficiency and limits the scalability of best practices [26]. Additionally, without standard processes, implementing automation or advanced analytics becomes challenging, further impeding efforts to leverage technology for cost optimization. Addressing these limitations requires a deliberate focus on harmonizing procedures and data governance across all levels of the multinational organization.

3. Standardization of Cost Reduction Models

3.1 Principles of Cost Reduction in Enterprise Systems

Cost reduction in enterprise systems is fundamentally guided by methodologies that aim to optimize resource utilization and eliminate inefficiencies. Among these, lean management has gained prominence due to its focus on reducing waste without compromising value delivery [27]. Lean principles emphasize continuous improvement, process streamlining, and the removal of non-value-adding activities, which can be systematically applied within SAP financial planning environments to reduce operational costs [28]. By integrating lean tools such as value stream mapping and root cause analysis into SAP workflows, organizations can identify bottlenecks and optimize cost drivers more effectively [29].

Zero-based budgeting (ZBB) is another cost control methodology that is highly relevant to enterprise financial planning. Unlike traditional budgeting, which adjusts previous budgets incrementally, ZBB requires each expense to be justified from scratch for each budget cycle [30]. This rigorous approach compels organizations to scrutinize all expenditures and align them strictly with strategic priorities [31]. When embedded within SAP systems, ZBB can leverage data granularity and workflow automation to improve budget discipline, minimize slack, and enhance transparency, enabling more effective cost reduction decisions [32].

Process automation plays a critical role in reducing costs by minimizing manual interventions and errors in financial operations [33]. SAP's capabilities in workflow automation, robotic process automation (RPA), and integrated analytics facilitate the standardization of routine tasks such as data entry, reconciliations, and approvals [34]. Automation not only speeds up processes but also ensures consistency and compliance, which are essential for scalable cost management. When combined with sound cost accounting principles, these automation tools enable more precise cost tracking and allocation, thereby improving the accuracy and reliability of cost reduction initiatives [35].

3.2 Cross-Border Financial Harmonization Theories

Theoretical frameworks addressing cross-border financial harmonization provide essential insights into aligning cost reduction efforts within multinational enterprises. Transfer pricing regulations serve as a critical mechanism in this context, governing the pricing of transactions between related business units across jurisdictions [36]. Compliance with transfer pricing rules ensures that cost allocations and intercompany charges reflect market conditions, mitigating risks of tax disputes and penalties [37]. In SAP environments, managing transfer pricing requires meticulous configuration of cost allocation methods and intercompany transaction monitoring, reinforcing the need for harmonized financial processes [38].

International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) further underpin harmonization efforts by providing a common accounting framework for multinational corporations. IFRS promotes transparency, comparability, and consistency in financial statements, which is vital for consolidating financial data from diverse subsidiaries [39]. Ensuring IFRS compliance within SAP involves a standardized chart of accounts, consistent recognition of costs and revenues, and uniform treatment of assets and liabilities. These standards form a foundation upon which multinational enterprises can build coherent cost reduction models that transcend geographic and regulatory boundaries [40].

The debate between centralized and decentralized financial planning approaches shapes the theoretical landscape of harmonization. Centralized planning consolidates budgeting and forecasting authority at the headquarters level, enabling uniform application of cost reduction policies and better global oversight [41]. Conversely, decentralized approaches empower local units with autonomy, allowing adaptation to regional market conditions and regulatory nuances. Effective harmonization often requires a hybrid model that balances global consistency with local flexibility, leveraging SAP's configurable modules to support both governance modes without compromising the standardization of cost management practices [42].

3.3 Frameworks for Process Standardization

Business Process Management (BPM) models provide structured methodologies to analyze, design, implement, and optimize business processes, which are essential for standardizing cost-reduction workflows. BPM emphasizes aligning processes with strategic goals, ensuring that cost management activities are efficient, repeatable, and measurable. In the context of SAP financial planning, BPM facilitates the mapping of end-to-end financial processes, identification of redundancies, and establishment of standardized operating procedures that can be enforced across subsidiaries. This approach improves transparency and accountability, enabling more effective cost control.

Enterprise architecture frameworks such as The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF) and Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL) complement BPM by providing governance structures that align IT systems and business processes [43]. TOGAF guides the design of scalable, interoperable enterprise systems, ensuring that SAP configurations and integrations support standardized financial planning and cost management objectives. ITIL, with its focus on IT service management, promotes consistent delivery of technology services that underpin financial processes, reducing variability and enhancing process reliability. Together, these frameworks enable a holistic approach to standardization that integrates people, processes, and technology [44].

The role of these frameworks in cost reduction standardization extends beyond technical implementation to cultural and organizational dimensions. Standardizing cost models requires change management, clear communication, and training to ensure adoption across multinational teams. BPM and enterprise architecture frameworks provide tools and best practices to manage this complexity, fostering collaboration and continuous improvement. By embedding standardization principles into organizational DNA, companies can sustain cost efficiency gains and adapt dynamically to evolving business challenges within SAP environments.

4. *Designing and Implementing a Unified Cost Reduction Model in SAP*

4.1 Model Design and Components

Designing a unified cost reduction model within SAP requires identifying and structuring core elements that directly influence financial performance. Central to this design are cost drivers—factors that cause changes in the cost of operations, which must be precisely defined and tracked across business units. These could include labor, materials, overhead, and process inefficiencies, all of which need to be consistently measured. To facilitate this, clearly articulated Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are essential. KPIs enable organizations to quantify cost-saving targets, such as reducing operational expenses

by a certain percentage or decreasing procurement costs per unit. These metrics provide a transparent basis for evaluating cost reduction effectiveness within the SAP environment.

Benchmarking templates form another vital component of the model design, allowing enterprises to compare internal and external performance between subsidiaries against industry standards. Such templates standardize data collection and reporting formats, ensuring consistency in how cost metrics are analyzed and shared. SAP's integrated reporting tools can be configured to automate benchmarking processes, making it easier for managers to identify deviations and best practices. By institutionalizing these templates within the SAP system, organizations promote a culture of continuous improvement driven by measurable financial objectives.

To operationalize these components, the model leverages SAP system configurations and automation rules. Custom configuration of SAP modules ensures that cost drivers and KPIs are embedded into routine workflows and financial processes. Automation rules streamline tasks such as cost allocation, variance analysis, and approval workflows, minimizing manual effort and reducing errors. This configuration also enables real-time monitoring and alerts, allowing finance teams to react promptly to cost overruns or inefficiencies. Together, these elements create a dynamic, data-driven framework that aligns with the organization's strategic goals and facilitates ongoing cost optimization.

4.2 Implementation Strategy in Multinational Contexts

Implementing a standardized cost reduction model in a multinational context requires a phased rollout to manage complexity and mitigate risks. The initial phase involves launching a pilot program within a select set of business units or regions. This controlled environment allows organizations to test the model's components, validate system configurations, and identify potential challenges related to localization and integration with existing SAP landscapes. Feedback from the pilot phase is critical to refining workflows and automation rules before wider deployment.

Following the pilot, localization efforts tailor the model to accommodate specific regulatory, cultural, and operational nuances of each region. Localization includes adjusting currency settings, tax compliance parameters, language preferences, and reporting formats within SAP. While maintaining the core standardized framework, this phase ensures that local subsidiaries can effectively adopt the model without sacrificing compliance or operational efficiency. The goal is to balance global consistency with local adaptability, recognizing that one-size-fits-all approaches are rarely effective in multinational enterprises. The final stage involves scaling the model globally across all subsidiaries. This requires comprehensive stakeholder engagement, including extensive training programs to build competence in using the new system functionalities. Change management is crucial to address resistance, align expectations, and embed the new cost reduction culture within the organization. Governance structures must be established to oversee model adherence, manage updates, and sustain continuous improvement. Effective communication channels between headquarters and subsidiaries facilitate collaboration, ensuring that best practices and lessons learned are shared and leveraged enterprise-wide.

4.3 Risk Management and Performance Monitoring

Risk management is a critical consideration during the design and deployment of a unified cost reduction model. System disruptions such as data inconsistencies, integration failures, or unexpected downtime can

jeopardize financial planning activities. To mitigate these risks, robust validation protocols, data quality checks, and failover mechanisms must be integrated into SAP system configurations [45, 46]. Additionally, ensuring compliance with multinational regulatory requirements reduces legal and financial risks. This includes continuous monitoring of regulatory changes and updating system parameters accordingly to prevent non-compliance penalties [47, 48].

Performance monitoring is equally essential to evaluate the effectiveness of cost reduction initiatives and drive ongoing improvements. Key metrics tied to the predefined KPIs are tracked through customizable dashboards within SAP's reporting environment [49, 50]. These dashboards provide real-time visibility into cost performance, highlighting variances, trends, and areas requiring management attention. Regular review cycles enable finance teams to assess progress against targets, recalibrate strategies, and make data-driven decisions [51, 52].

Furthermore, performance monitoring frameworks support accountability by assigning ownership of cost control measures at various organizational levels [53]. Automated alerts and exception reporting empower managers to intervene promptly when deviations occur, preventing cost overruns from escalating. By integrating risk management with performance evaluation, the model creates a resilient, transparent system that not only drives cost efficiencies but also strengthens governance and operational resilience across multinational operations [54, 55].

5. Conclusion and Future Outlook

5.1 Summary of Key Insights

The analysis presented in this paper underscores the critical importance of standardization within SAP-based financial planning systems for multinational operations. By establishing a unified cost reduction model, enterprises can overcome the fragmentation and inefficiencies often encountered in disparate business units operating under varying regulatory and operational contexts. The research highlights that standardizing cost drivers, KPIs, and process workflows within SAP not only streamlines budgeting and forecasting but also enhances data accuracy and transparency. This alignment creates a solid foundation for sustained cost optimization across global subsidiaries.

Strategically, standardized cost reduction models facilitate more effective decision-making at both local and corporate levels. The consistent application of cost accounting principles and automation within SAP enables finance teams to monitor expenses proactively, identify inefficiencies early, and deploy resources more efficiently. Operationally, such standardization reduces duplication of efforts, mitigates risks related to compliance and data inconsistency, and fosters a culture of continuous improvement. Overall, the integration of standardized frameworks within SAP transforms financial planning from a reactive task into a forward-looking, value-driven process.

Moreover, the proposed implementation approach, which emphasizes phased rollouts and localization, addresses the complexities inherent in multinational environments. The model's design incorporates not only technological capabilities but also change management and governance structures, ensuring that standardization is both practical and sustainable. This comprehensive approach reinforces the notion that technological solutions must be accompanied by organizational alignment to achieve meaningful cost reductions and enhanced financial performance.

5.2 Implications for Multinational Enterprises

For multinational enterprises, adopting a standardized cost reduction model within SAP-based financial planning systems has profound implications for financial control and operational predictability. Centralized visibility into cost performance across regions empowers leadership to enforce budgets more effectively, reduce unplanned expenses, and anticipate financial risks. Such control is indispensable in volatile global markets where currency fluctuations, regulatory changes, and geopolitical events can dramatically impact cost structures. Standardization reduces uncertainty by providing a common financial language and transparent processes that facilitate timely corrective actions.

Improved scalability is another significant advantage for multinational corporations. As enterprises expand into new markets or adjust to changing business conditions, a standardized SAP model allows for seamless integration of additional subsidiaries and functions. This flexibility minimizes disruptions and accelerates the onboarding of new units, supporting rapid growth without compromising financial discipline. Additionally, responsiveness to global changes, such as tax reforms or economic shocks, is enhanced because standardized processes simplify the implementation of system updates and policy changes across all operational locations.

Finally, standardized cost reduction models promote cross-functional collaboration and knowledge sharing within multinational organizations. By aligning reporting formats, cost metrics, and governance mechanisms, finance teams from different regions can exchange insights, benchmark performance, and jointly develop best practices. This collaborative dynamic fosters innovation in cost management and supports strategic agility, enabling enterprises to remain competitive in an increasingly complex and fast-evolving global landscape.

5.3 Future Research Directions

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into SAP-based cost optimization offers promising avenues for advancing financial planning capabilities. AI-powered analytics can enhance predictive accuracy by analyzing vast datasets to identify cost-saving opportunities, forecast budget deviations, and simulate alternative scenarios. Machine learning algorithms may also automate anomaly detection in cost transactions, reducing fraud risks and improving compliance monitoring. Future research should explore how AI can be embedded within SAP workflows to create adaptive, self-optimizing cost management systems that evolve with business needs.

Cross-platform integrations and hybrid ERP environments represent another critical frontier for research. Many multinational organizations operate multiple ERP systems due to mergers, acquisitions, or legacy technology choices. Harmonizing cost reduction models across heterogeneous platforms requires developing interoperability standards, data exchange protocols, and unified reporting frameworks. Investigating how SAP's cloud solutions and APIs can facilitate seamless integration with other enterprise systems will be essential to realizing truly global, real-time cost management capabilities.

Lastly, future studies could examine the human and organizational aspects of cost model standardization, including change management strategies, cultural barriers, and training effectiveness in diverse multinational contexts. Understanding these factors is crucial for ensuring successful adoption and sustainability of standardized financial practices. By addressing technological, organizational, and strategic

dimensions, future research can provide comprehensive frameworks that help enterprises optimize costs while enhancing overall financial resilience.

References

- [1]. E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "Developing an integrated framework for SAP-based cost control and financial reporting in energy companies," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 805-818, 2022.
- [2]. S. Katuu, "Trends in the enterprise resource planning market landscape," *Journal of Information and Organizational Sciences*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 55-75, 2021.
- [3]. S. Katuu, "Enterprise resource planning: past, present, and future," *New Review of Information Networking*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 37-46, 2020.
- [4]. D. M. Bahssas, A. M. AlBar, and R. Hoque, "Enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems: design, trends and deployment," *The International Technology Management Review*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 72-81, 2015.
- [5]. 5R. Masteikiene and V. Venckuviene, "Changes of economic globalization impacts on the baltic states business environments," *Procedia economics and finance*, vol. 26, pp. 1086-1094, 2015.
- [6]. P. Sun, J. P. Doh, T. Rajwani, and D. Siegel, "Navigating cross-border institutional complexity: A review and assessment of multinational nonmarket strategy research," *Journal of International Business Studies*, vol. 52, no. 9, p. 1818, 2021.
- [7]. K. C. Butler, *Multinational finance: Evaluating the opportunities, costs, and risks of multinational operations*. John Wiley & Sons, 2016.
- [8]. S. Sarferaz, *Compendium on enterprise resource planning: Market, functional and conceptual view based on SAP S/4HANA*. Springer Nature, 2022.
- [9]. A. Blundell-Wignall and C. Roulet, "Problems in the international financial system," *OECD Journal: Financial Market Trends*, vol. 2014, no. 1, pp. 99-121, 2014.
- [10]. S. S. Parimi, "Automated Risk Assessment in SAP Financial Modules through Machine Learning," Available at SSRN 4934897, 2019.
- [11]. K. N. Sahni, "I declare that the integrated project "A systematic analysis of SAP Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) software implementation as accounting software in New Zealand organisations" is my own work during my study under the supervision of Dr Lorraine Skelton and Ms. Bing Dai," 2021.
- [12]. S. S. Dubey, *IT Strategy and management*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd., 2018.
- [13]. P. Ruivo, T. Oliveira, and M. Neto, "Examine ERP post-implementation stages of use and value: Empirical evidence from Portuguese SMEs," *International journal of accounting information systems*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 166-184, 2014.
- [14]. V. Gole and S. Shiralkar, "Empower decision makers with SAP analytics cloud," *Kaliforniya: Apress*, 2020.
- [15]. J. Salmon, *First Steps in SAP S/4HANA Finance*. Espresso Tutorials GmbH, 2020.

- [16]. A. Sampat, First Steps in SAP Controlling (CO). Espresso Tutorials GmbH, 2015.
- [17]. F. Poschadel, "SAP S/4HANA: Performance Management in Real Time?," Performance Management in Retail and the Consumer Goods Industry: Best Practices and Case Studies, pp. 137-151, 2019.
- [18]. S. A. Shad, E. Chen, and F. M. F. Azeem, "Enterprise resource planning-Real blessing or a blessing in disguise: An exploration of the contextual factors in public sector," arXiv preprint arXiv:1207.2860, 2012.
- [19]. E. Felski, "Do common features exist among countries that locally adopt IFRS," International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 144-177, 2015.
- [20]. K. E. Meyer, R. Mudambi, and R. Narula, "Multinational enterprises and local contexts: The opportunities and challenges of multiple embeddedness," Journal of management studies, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 235-252, 2011.
- [21]. E. O. Van Steenberghe, Maximizing Cash Management with SAP ERP Financials. Galileo Press, 2011.
- [22]. S. Ansari, J. Reinecke, and A. Spaan, "How are practices made to vary? Managing practice adaptation in a multinational corporation," Organization studies, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 1313-1341, 2014.
- [23]. S. Bustamante, "Localization vs. Standardization: Global approaches to CSR Management in multinational companies," working paper, 2011.
- [24]. K. Bondy and K. Starkey, "The dilemmas of internationalization: Corporate social responsibility in the multinational corporation," British journal of management, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 4-22, 2014.
- [25]. D. Stodder and W. D. P. Matters, "Improving data preparation for business analytics," Transforming Data With Intelligence, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 41, 2016.
- [26]. A. Chhapola, A. Shrivastav, V. Ravi, S. Jampani, S. Gudavalli, and P. Goel, "Cloud-native DevOps practices for SAP deployment," International Journal of Research in Modern Engineering and Emerging Technology, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 95-116, 2022.
- [27]. B. Zhou, "Lean principles, practices, and impacts: a study on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)," Annals of Operations Research, vol. 241, pp. 457-474, 2016.
- [28]. B. H. Maskell, B. Baggaley, and L. Grasso, Practical lean accounting: a proven system for measuring and managing the lean enterprise. CRC Press, 2011.
- [29]. R. Cooper, Supply chain development for the lean enterprise: interorganizational cost management. Routledge, 2017.
- [30]. A. O. Oraka, "Zero-based budgeting: Pathway to sustainable budget implementation in Nigeria," 2016.
- [31]. T. H. Regan and M. T. Brown, "Budgeting," in Financial Management in the Sport Industry: Routledge, 2021, pp. 186-219.
- [32]. P. A. Pyhrr, "Zero-Based Budgeting," Handbook of budgeting, pp. 677-696, 2012.
- [33]. D. Jędrzejka, "Robotic process automation and its impact on accounting," Zeszyty Teoretyczne Rachunkowości, no. 105, pp. 137-166, 2019.

- [34]. A. Vaid and C. Sharma, "Leveraging SAP and artificial intelligence for optimized enterprise resource planning: Enhancing efficiency, automation, and decision-making," DOI <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr>, vol. 3, 2022.
- [35]. D. Pramod, "Robotic process automation for industry: adoption status, benefits, challenges and research agenda," *Benchmarking: an international journal*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1562-1586, 2022.
- [36]. O. O. Elumilade, I. A. Ogundej, G. O. Achumie, H. E. Omokhoa, and B. M. Omowole, "Optimizing corporate tax strategies and transfer pricing policies to improve financial efficiency and compliance," *Journal of Advance Multidisciplinary Research*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 28-38, 2022.
- [37]. C. Plesner Rossing and C. Rohde, "Transfer pricing: aligning the research agenda to organizational reality," *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 266-287, 2014.
- [38]. G. Y. Tian, "Cloud Computing and Cross-Border Transfer Pricing: Implications of Recent OECD and Australian Transfer Pricing Laws on Cloud Related Multinational Enterprises and Possible Solutions," *Rutgers Computer & Tech. LJ*, vol. 44, p. 33, 2018.
- [39]. A. Musa, "The role of IFRS on financial reporting quality and global convergence: a conceptual review," *International Business and Accounting Research Journal*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 67-76, 2019.
- [40]. G. F. Burton and E. K. Jermakowicz, *International financial reporting standards: a framework-based perspective*. Routledge, 2015.
- [41]. M. La Torre, S. Sabelfeld, M. Blomkvist, L. Tarquinio, and J. Dumay, "Harmonising non-financial reporting regulation in Europe: Practical forces and projections for future research," *Meditari Accountancy Research*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 598-621, 2018.
- [42]. S. A. Olaoye and T. A. Agugum, "Justification for IFRS in Sub-Sahara African countries: A case of multinational corporations," *International Journal of Economics and Business Management*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 56-68, 2017.
- [43]. A. Rasheed, I. Zuolkernan, and A. Karim, "Towards an E-Learning Enterprise Architecture and Framework," in *EDULEARN13 Proceedings, 2013: IATED*, pp. 11-20.
- [44]. Y. Masuda and M. Viswanathan, "Enterprise architecture for global companies in a digital IT era," *Adaptive integrated digital architecture framework (AIDAF)*, 2019.
- [45]. O. O. Agbede, E. E. Akhigbe, A. J. Ajayi, and N. S. Egbuhuzor, "Assessing economic risks and returns of energy transitions with quantitative financial approaches," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 552-566, 2021.
- [46]. E. O. ALONGE, N. L. EYO-UDO, B. CHIBUNNA, A. I. D. UBANADU, E. D. BALOGUN, and K. O. OGUNSOLA, "Digital Transformation in Retail Banking to Enhance Customer Experience and Profitability," 2021.
- [47]. K. J. Akinluwade, F. Omole, D. Isadare, O. Adesina, and A. Adetunji, "Material selection for heat sinks in HPC microchip-based circuitries," *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 124, 2015.
- [48]. L. C. Iyabode, "Career Development and Talent Management in Banking Sector," *Texila International Journal*, 2015.

- [49]. L. R. Isi, E. Ogu, P. I. Egbumokei, I. N. Dienagha, and W. N. Digitemie, "Pioneering Eco-Friendly Fluid Systems and Waste Minimization Strategies in Fracturing and Stimulation Operations," 2021.
- [50]. N. J. Isibor, C. P.-M. Ewim, A. I. Ibeh, E. M. Adaga, N. J. Sam-Bulya, and G. O. Achumie, "A Generalizable Social Media Utilization Framework for Entrepreneurs: Enhancing Digital Branding, Customer Engagement, and Growth," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 751-758, 2021.
- [51]. O. R. Aziza, "Securities regulation, enforcement and market integration in the development of sub-Saharan Africa's capital markets," University of Oxford, 2021.
- [52]. I. N. Dienagha, F. O. Onyeke, W. N. Digitemie, and M. Adekunle, "Strategic reviews of greenfield gas projects in Africa: Lessons learned for expanding regional energy infrastructure and security," 2021.
- [53]. S. C. Friday, C. I. Lawal, D. C. Ayodeji, and A. Sobowale, "Strategic Model for Building Institutional Capacity in Financial Compliance and Internal Controls Across Fragile Economies," 2022.
- [54]. M. T. Ayumu and T. C. Ohakawa, "Real Estate Portfolio Valuation Techniques to Unlock Funding for Affordable Housing in Africa," 2022.
- [55]. E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "A conceptual framework for financial optimization and budget management in large-scale energy projects," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 823-834, 2022.