



## Exploring the Role of Peer Influence on nutritional Habits : A Case Study of youth at Amity University

Md. Rehan Ansari\*, Dr. Rama Srivastava\*\*

\*Student, Master of Social Work, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

\*\*Professor, Amity Institute of Social Sciences, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Article Info

Accepted : 01 May 2025

Published : 20 May 2025

### Publication Issue :

May-June-2025

Volume 8, Issue 3

Page Number : 76-91

**Abstract** - This study explores the role of peer influence on the nutritional habits of university students, focusing on a case study of youth at Amity University. The objective was to examine how peers affect food choices, meal-sharing behaviors, and attitudes toward health and nutrition. A quantitative research design was employed, using a structured questionnaire distributed to a diverse sample of 100 students across various age groups, genders, educational levels, and living situations. The findings reveal that peer influence plays a significant role in shaping dietary behaviors, with a large majority of respondents reporting that their eating habits are affected by their social circles. Key aspects of peer influence include frequent meal sharing, discussions about nutrition, and encouragement to try new dietary trends. Social modeling emerged as a powerful mechanism through which students adopt healthier food practices observed in their peer groups.

The study highlights several positive outcomes, including increased nutritional awareness and the promotion of healthier eating habits driven by peer motivation and group norms. Based on these insights, the research recommends the implementation of peer-led nutrition education programs, integration of nutrition topics into university curricula, and enhancement of campus food environments to support informed and health-conscious choices. These strategies can harness the power of peer dynamics to foster long-term improvements in student well-being.

**Keywords** : Peer Influence, Nutritional Habits, University Students, Food Choices, Dietary Behaviors, Health Education, Amity University, Social Dynamics, Healthy Eating.

### 1. Introduction

**1.1 Contextual Background** : The transition to university life marks a significant developmental phase for young adults, often accompanied by greater autonomy in lifestyle choices, including those related to diet and

nutrition. Globally, there is growing concern over the dietary habits of university students, many of whom fall into unhealthy patterns due to a combination of academic pressures, time constraints, limited finances, and the easy availability of fast food. These factors frequently contribute to irregular meal timings, increased consumption of high-calorie and processed foods, and a decline in nutritional quality.

A key aspect of this transition is the shift from parental oversight to peer-influenced decision-making. Unlike in their home environments, where food choices may have been shaped by family values, traditions, or home-cooked meals, university students are more likely to be influenced by their friends, roommates, or classmates. Peers play an integral role in shaping behavioral norms—ranging from how often one eats out, to trying trending diets, or choosing between junk and healthy food. Social activities, including group dining, shared meals, and food-related conversations, have the potential to normalize certain dietary behaviors, reinforcing both healthy and unhealthy patterns.

**1.2 Research Problem :** While numerous studies in Western contexts have explored the influence of peers on students' eating habits, limited research exists in the Indian context—particularly within private universities that host diverse student populations from across the country. Institutions like Amity University provide a unique setting to explore these dynamics, given their multicultural and residential nature, which promotes intensive peer interaction.

The growing prevalence of obesity, lifestyle-related diseases, and disordered eating patterns among Indian youth further underscores the urgency of this investigation. Fast food culture has become deeply embedded in urban student life, and the omnipresence of social media has introduced new forms of dietary influence, often promoting unrealistic or fad-based nutrition ideals. These evolving influences call for a deeper understanding of how peer relationships shape the food-related behaviors of Indian university students.

**1.3 Objectives :** The study is guided by the following objectives:

- To examine how peer relationships influence students' food choices and dietary habits.
- To evaluate whether peer-led behaviors encourage healthier or unhealthier eating patterns.
- To understand the extent to which peer interactions—such as meal sharing, group discussions, and social media trends—affect nutritional awareness and behavior.
- To identify strategies that can harness positive peer influence to promote healthy eating on campus.

**1.4 Research Questions**

- How often do university students share meals or engage in discussions about nutrition with their peers?
- What kind of influence—positive, negative, or neutral—do peers exert on students' food choices and dietary decisions?

**1.5 Significance of the Study :** This study has important implications for the fields of academia and policymaking. The study can help university administrators and student welfare departments create initiatives that encourage healthy eating habits by illuminating the ways in which peer influence impacts nutrition. These might be curriculum-based awareness campaigns, enhanced campus food policies, or peer-led nutrition programs.

Furthermore, by bringing social impact theories to a real-world university setting, the findings advance the subject of public health. Gaining insight into how peers influence eating habits might assist develop more potent tactics to counteract the growing prevalence of non-communicable illnesses and promote healthier lives among young people.

## 2. Literature Review

**2.1 Peer Influence on Eating Habits :** Understanding peer influence on eating habits requires a foundation in behavioral theories that explain how individuals adopt and modify behaviors in social contexts. One of the most widely referenced frameworks is **Bandura's Social Learning Theory** (1977), which posits that individuals learn behaviors through observation, imitation, and modeling. Within peer groups, students often observe dietary choices and food-related practices, internalize them, and replicate these behaviors in their own eating habits. For example, if a student regularly sees their friends opting for high-protein meals or plant-based diets, they may be inclined to adopt similar practices—even if those were not part of their previous routine.

Another valuable theoretical lens is the **Theory of Planned Behavior** (Ajzen, 1991), which highlights the role of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control in shaping intention and behavior. In the context of university students, subjective norms—what they believe others expect them to eat or avoid—are often defined by their peer groups. A student might intend to adopt a healthier diet not because of personal preference, but because it aligns with the perceived expectations or endorsements of their friends.

Social Norms Theory (Perkins & Berkowitz, 1986) further supports this by suggesting that individuals' behaviors are strongly influenced by their perceptions of what is considered "normal" within their peer group. If a student perceives that most of their friends regularly skip breakfast or consume energy drinks during exams, they may unconsciously adopt the same practices, even if they understand the health risks.

It is crucial to differentiate between peer pressure and peer modeling. Peer pressure refers to the explicit or implicit social force that compels individuals to conform to group expectations, sometimes at the expense of personal well-being or values. This might manifest as direct encouragement to try junk food, overeat during social gatherings, or skip meals due to group activities. In contrast, peer modeling is subtler—it involves adopting behaviors by observing and emulating peers, without any direct coercion. While peer pressure may lead to negative dietary outcomes, peer modeling can have either positive or negative effects depending on the behaviors being modeled.

**2.2 University Environment and Diet :** The university environment plays a pivotal role in shaping student nutrition, acting as both a facilitator and a barrier to healthy eating. Several studies have highlighted how the availability of food options on campus influences dietary decisions. College campuses often host fast food outlets, vending machines, and cafes that offer quick but often unhealthy food choices. The convenience and affordability of these options, combined with limited time due to academic workloads, lead many students to prioritize accessibility over nutritional value (Cluskey & Grobe, 2009).

Academic stress also has a profound impact on eating behaviors. Research by Mikolajczyk et al. (2009) indicates that stress correlates with irregular eating patterns, emotional eating, and increased consumption of high-calorie snacks. Students juggling exams, part-time jobs, and personal responsibilities often turn to comfort foods or skip meals altogether, further deteriorating their dietary health.

In such high-pressure environments, group dining becomes a critical social behavior. Whether in dormitories, food courts, or campus cafes, shared meals create opportunities for both positive and negative peer influence. Studies by Herman et al. (2003) and Levitsky et al. (2004) show that students often adjust their food intake based on how much others are eating—a phenomenon known as "social facilitation." Furthermore, those who dine with health-conscious peers are more likely to mirror those choices, while those surrounded by junk food enthusiasts may develop similar preferences.

The **institutional role** of universities also cannot be ignored. While some institutions have begun to implement healthier food policies—such as offering calorie labels, increasing access to fruits and vegetables, and promoting nutrition education—these efforts are often inconsistent and underutilized (Tam et al., 2017). The lack of structural support for healthy eating exacerbates reliance on peer cues, making social influence even more critical.

**2.3 Indian and Global Case Studies :** In order to comprehend the peer influence dynamic in various cultural contexts, a number of foreign research offer helpful comparisons. According to a qualitative study by Ekubagewargies et al. (2024) carried out in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, friends have a dual function in promoting and impeding teenagers' good eating habits. Peer support helped some groups make healthier food choices, but social pressure caused others to give in to junk eating habits.

Xu (2022) investigated how family and school members affected children's eating habits in China. Peer groups increasingly controlled snack selections and eating habits during school hours, even if families still had a large amount of nutritional control. This change was particularly noticeable in metropolitan areas, where fast food and digital food culture have increased due to lifestyle westernization.

The sociocultural impacts on teenage diets were the subject of a study conducted in Lima, Peru (Banna et al., 2015). Peer groups were shown to be crucial in diversifying food exposure, especially through shared meals and snack-time exchanges, whereas family traditions impacted core food preferences. The study emphasized how crucial peer pressure is when it comes to embracing contemporary or fashionable eating patterns.

In Western contexts, particularly in North America and Europe, peer influence has been widely documented as a significant factor in adolescent and young adult nutrition. Bowker (2012) and Cruwys et al. (2015) found that the "contagion" effect of behaviors—whether healthy or unhealthy—is amplified in tight-knit social groups. Students who perceive their friends as health-conscious are more likely to adopt similar habits, reinforcing the notion that peer dynamics can serve as both risk factors and protective factors.

Across all contexts, one key finding remains consistent: peer groups amplify existing behaviors. If the dominant behavior is healthy, peer influence strengthens it. If the dominant behavior is unhealthy, peer influence perpetuates and normalizes it. This dual-edged nature of peer dynamics makes it a critical target for intervention.

**2.4 Role of Digital Influence :** Digital platforms have given peer influence a new dimension in today's hyperconnected environment. In addition to influencing lifestyle choices and beauty standards, platforms such as YouTube, Instagram, and TikTok are also having a significant impact on how young people see food and nutrition. Regardless of nutritional correctness, food experimentation is frequently driven by aesthetic appeal, celebrity endorsements, and viral challenges.

Many social media influencers are not qualified nutritionists, and they frequently advocate for "aesthetic" eating practices, detoxification programs, and fad diets that may not have scientific support. However, because peer networks spread these patterns, they seem more authentic and gain popularity. According to Sharps et al. (2020), children's food preferences were considerably impacted by digital peer interactions; young people are also impacted, particularly in a university context where social validation is essential. Additionally, virtual peer networks where students exchange diet plans, recipes, and transformation stories are made possible by internet platforms. These might be enlightening and motivating, but they can also reinforce diet culture and unattainable ideals. A complicated combination of peer approbation and digital trends is reflected in the normalization of cheat meals, intermittent fasting, or protein-heavy diets without medical advice.

In the context of Amity University and similar institutions, students are not only shaped by face-to-face interactions but also by their curated digital environments. Understanding this hybrid model of influence is essential for designing effective interventions that promote balanced, informed, and sustainable eating habits.

### 3. Methodology

**3.1 Research Design :** This study adopted a **quantitative Cross-sectional survey design**, utilizing a structured questionnaire distributed via **Google Forms**. The cross-sectional approach was selected because it allows the collection of data at a single point in time, providing a "snapshot" of prevailing attitudes, behaviors, and perceptions related to peer influence on nutritional habits among students.

A quantitative method was appropriate for this research due to its **scalability** and the ability to statistically analyze patterns across a relatively large sample. Unlike qualitative methods that explore individual experiences in depth, the survey-based design enabled the researcher to identify broader trends, correlations, and frequency distributions across the sample population. The structured format ensured uniformity in responses and facilitated easy aggregation and comparison of data.

**3.2 Sample and Population :** The **target population** for this study consisted of undergraduate and graduate students enrolled at **Amity University**, representing diverse academic disciplines and personal backgrounds. A total of **100 students** were selected as the **sample size**, using a **non-probability convenience sampling** method.

Efforts were made to ensure **stratified diversity** across key demographic variables:

- **Age:** Students were grouped into two primary age categories—18–25 years and 26–30 years.
- **Gender:** Both male and female students were included, although the final sample had a greater proportion of male respondents.
- **Educational Level:** Participants included students from undergraduate, graduate, and postgraduate programs.
- **Living Situation:** The sample also included students living on-campus, in off-campus rented housing, and at home with family.

This stratification allowed for the exploration of how peer influence on nutritional habits may vary depending on age, gender, academic status, and residential context. While the use of convenience sampling

limits the generalizability of the results, it was an effective method for accessing a diverse group of students within the given time and resource constraints.

**3.3 Data Collection Instrument :** The primary data collection tool was a **structured fifteen question survey**, carefully designed to explore multiple dimensions of peer influence on nutrition. The questionnaire was created in Google Forms and shared with students via university digital platforms, including WhatsApp groups, student forums, and email distribution lists.

The survey included **closed-ended and multiple-choice questions** across the following thematic areas:

- **Meal Sharing Behavior:** Questions assessing how frequently students shared meals with peers and the impact of those social settings on their food choices.
- **Peer Discussions on Nutrition:** Items gauging the frequency and nature of conversations about diet, health, and nutrition among peer groups.
- **Pressure to Conform:** Questions exploring whether students felt compelled to align their food choices with those of their peers, including consumption of junk food or adherence to dietary trends.
- **Influence of Peer Recommendations:** Items measuring how often students tried new foods or altered their diet based on friends' suggestions or social media trends within peer networks.

The survey was anonymous, ensuring that respondents could answer honestly without fear of judgment or disclosure. Data collection was conducted over a two-week period.

**3.4 Data Analysis :** The data collected were analyzed using **descriptive statistical methods**, primarily focusing on:

- **Frequencies:** To count how often specific responses occurred.
- **Percentages:** To present proportions across different categories.
- **Means:** To identify central tendencies in peer influence patterns.

The responses were coded numerically to facilitate tabulation and graphical representation. The results were interpreted in alignment with the research objectives and were presented through tables and narrative summaries that offered thematic insights into peer-driven dietary behavior.

Where appropriate, cross-tabulations were used to identify variations across demographic groups—for instance, whether peer influence was stronger among students living in hostels versus those staying at home.

**Limitations of the methodology were acknowledged:**

- **Self-reporting bias:** As with all survey-based research, responses relied on participants' honesty and memory, which may have led to underreporting or overreporting of certain behaviors.
- **Lack of longitudinal data:** The cross-sectional design does not capture how peer influence may evolve over time or how sustained its impact is on long-term dietary habits.
- **Limited generalizability:** Due to convenience sampling, findings may not represent the broader university student population beyond Amity University.

Despite these limitations, the methodology was robust in capturing a comprehensive overview of peer influence on nutritional habits among university youth and provided a solid foundation for analysis and further research.



**4. Data Analysis and Interpretation :** This chapter presents the analysis and interpretation of data collected through the structured questionnaire distributed to students at Amity University. The findings are organized thematically to align with the objectives of the study, focusing on peer influence, food behavior, and students' dietary patterns.

**4.1 Demographic Breakdown :** The demographic data of the respondents provides essential context for interpreting the patterns of peer influence on dietary habits. Out of the 100 students surveyed:

- **87% were aged between 18 and 25**, placing them in the transitional life phase where personal choices begin to dominate over parental guidance.
- **71% of respondents were male**, and **29% were female**, reflecting a gender imbalance that should be considered when interpreting the results.
- The majority of participants were **graduate students (50%)**, followed by undergraduates (32%), postgraduates (15%), and a small proportion (13%) already employed.

In terms of living arrangements:

- **53% lived at home with their families**,
- **29% lived off-campus in rented accommodations**, and
- **18% stayed in on-campus hostels**.

Despite the majority living with family, responses showed that **peer groups still exerted a strong influence** on eating behavior. This underscores that peer influence can transcend physical proximity and continues to play a role through university life, social media interactions, and campus culture.

**4.2 Patterns of Peer Influence :** One of the clearest patterns to emerge from the data is the strong social nature of eating among university students:

- **92% of respondents reported sharing meals with friends either always or sometimes**. This high rate of shared meals supports the idea that eating is not merely a functional activity but also a social event where peer behavior and preferences become highly visible and influential.

Moreover, peer motivation toward better habits is significant:

- **88% of students stated that they were encouraged by peers to adopt healthier eating habits**. This finding suggests a predominantly supportive peer environment, where positive reinforcement for nutritious behavior is common.

Another critical indicator of peer influence is openness to new food experiences:

- **72% of students had tried new foods or dietary trends because of peer recommendations**, demonstrating that students are highly responsive to suggestions made by their friends or peer groups.

These findings point to **social modeling** as a primary mechanism of influence—where observing and engaging with peers during mealtimes leads to imitation of dietary practices, often without conscious peer pressure.

#### **4.3 Peer Pressure vs. Peer Support**

To further understand the dynamics of influence, students were asked whether they felt **peer pressure to eat or avoid specific foods**:

- **38% agreed or strongly agreed** that they felt such pressure.

- However, a larger proportion (49%) remained neutral, and 13% disagreed, indicating that **explicit peer pressure is less prevalent** than passive influence.

When asked about the **overall impact of peer influence**:

- **69% reported a positive influence** on their eating habits,
- **12% indicated a negative impact**, and
- **19% felt no impact at all**.

This substantial lean toward positive peer influence highlights the **dominance of peer support over pressure** in this particular student community. It is likely that as health consciousness rises among youth, the nature of peer influence shifts from coercion or conformity toward shared motivation and collective action—especially in peer groups that value wellness and fitness.

Furthermore, **peer support may be easier to accept and internalize** because it comes from trusted social connections. Unlike pressure, which may create stress or resistance, supportive interactions tend to encourage gradual, voluntary change. In the Amity University context, peer support appears to be the stronger and more consistent factor influencing dietary decisions.

#### **4.4 Influence on Junk vs. Healthy Food**

When students were asked about **peer influence on specific types of food**, the responses revealed a growing inclination toward health-conscious eating:

- **52% said their peers influenced them to choose healthy food**,
- **31% indicated equal influence toward both healthy and junk food**,
- **10% noted an influence toward junk food**, and
- **7% reported no influence at all**.

This distribution suggests that, while unhealthy eating is still normalized in some circles, **there is a significant shift toward health awareness within peer networks**. The fact that over half of the respondents felt encouraged toward healthy food choices reflects the changing dietary norms among university students.

The group that reported equal influence toward both types of food likely reflects **real-world complexity**, where students enjoy flexibility in their eating patterns—balancing indulgence with attempts at healthier eating. It also reveals the possibility of **mixed messaging** in peer groups, where health trends coexist with fast food cravings, depending on the social setting.

**4.5 Nutrition Awareness and Discussion** : The study also explored how frequently students engaged in conversations about health and nutrition with their peers. The findings were insightful:

- **74% of students said they occasionally or frequently discussed nutrition** with their peers.
- Only **2% reported never having such discussions**, suggesting that nutrition is a relatively common topic in social interactions.

In terms of perceived **nutrition awareness within peer groups**:

- **57% rated their group's awareness as “moderate”**,
- **37% said “high” or “very high”**, and
- **6% said “low” or “very low”**.



These responses indicate a **baseline understanding of nutrition** among peer groups, though it may not always be deeply informed or scientifically accurate. The moderate awareness could be attributed to general exposure to health content on social media, basic educational background, or shared experiences.

The **fact that students are discussing health and nutrition** is promising, as these conversations form the foundation for behavior change. Even if students are not always consciously applying nutrition science, the act of engaging with the topic helps solidify shared norms, build motivation, and support healthier decisions.

**Summary of Key Interpretations :** The data clearly indicate that **peer influence is a dominant factor** in shaping students' nutritional behavior at Amity University. From shared meals to food recommendations and social discussions, the role of peers is deeply embedded in students' everyday food choices. Notably:

- **Peer support outweighs pressure**, with most students experiencing encouragement toward healthier habits rather than being coerced into poor ones.
- A **growing preference for healthy food** within peer circles reflects a cultural shift toward wellness among educated youth.
- **Moderate but widespread nutrition awareness** indicates fertile ground for targeted peer-led interventions.

These findings underscore the potential of peer-based strategies to **promote healthier eating behaviors**, especially in university environments where social interactions are central to student life.

**5. Discussion :** This chapter provides a critical analysis and synthesis of the study's findings in light of existing research. It highlights the significance of peer influence on nutritional behaviors among university students, explores the dual nature of this influence, and identifies broader implications for public health and future research.

**5.1 Interpreting the Findings :** The data from this study confirms what has been widely observed in global research: **peer groups significantly shape eating behavior** among university students. At Amity University, students reported that their peers influenced their food choices not only through direct encouragement but also through shared eating habits, discussions, and social norms. A striking **88% of participants** acknowledged that they were encouraged by their peers to adopt healthier eating habits, and **92% frequently shared meals** with friends, reinforcing the idea that eating is inherently social.

These findings strongly suggest the presence of **positive social modeling**, where individuals adopt behaviors observed in their peer groups. Whether through watching friends make healthy choices in the cafeteria or joining conversations about nutrition and dietary trends, students internalize and mirror these behaviors—consciously or unconsciously. This supports the theoretical framework of Social Learning Theory, where learning occurs through observation and imitation within social environments.

**5.2 Comparison with Literature :** The results of this study are consistent with existing literature across multiple cultural contexts. In particular, the study aligns closely with the findings of **Deliens et al. (2014)**, who highlighted that group dynamics in university settings often act as **behavioral reinforcers**, amplifying shared practices. Students in both studies exhibited greater alignment with the dietary behaviors of their peers, especially in shared meal contexts.

Furthermore, this study echoes the conclusions of **Stok et al. (2016)**, who found that **peer support is a powerful motivator** for nutritional behavior change in adolescents and young adults. At Amity University, students reported not only following dietary trends introduced by their peers (72%) but also experiencing a general sense of motivation to eat healthier. This suggests that university environments can cultivate health-positive peer cultures when students model and support beneficial habits.

The impact of **social norms theory** is also evident here. Students appeared to conform to the dietary behaviors that were prevalent in their social circles, which included both healthy and unhealthy patterns. However, the predominance of health-conscious peer influence suggests that these norms are slowly shifting toward better nutritional standards.

**5.3 The Dual Nature of Peer Influence :** While the study overwhelmingly highlights the **positive aspects of peer influence**, it also acknowledges its **dual nature**. About **38% of respondents** reported feeling pressured to eat or avoid certain types of food due to their peers, and **12% noted that peer influence negatively impacted** their dietary habits. These figures point to the reality that **peer influence can lead to both supportive and adverse outcomes**, depending on the social group's values and habits.

For example, group outings might encourage the consumption of fast food or sugary beverages, while late-night social activities can lead to skipped meals or irregular eating patterns. However, the majority of students still identified their peers as a **positive force**, suggesting that peer pressure in this context is more likely to manifest as **healthy encouragement** rather than harmful coercion.

This reinforces the idea that the **content and context** of peer influence are what determine its effect. When peer norms favor health, the influence can lead to sustainable behavior change. Conversely, when unhealthy habits are normalized, peer influence can act as a barrier to good nutrition. The findings support a nuanced understanding that **peer influence is not inherently good or bad**—its impact is contingent on the behaviors being modeled and reinforced.

**5.4 Gender and Age Dynamics :** Although the study did not deeply disaggregate results by gender or age, some patterns point toward the need for **further research in this area**. The respondent pool was predominantly male (71%), which could influence the overall findings, as previous literature suggests that **males and females respond differently to peer influence**.

For instance, studies such as Fitzgerald et al. (2013) and Bauer et al. (2009) have shown that **females may be more susceptible to social and peer cues** related to diet, while **males often rely more on personal attitudes or family influence**. Similarly, younger students in the 18–25 age bracket may be more impressionable than older students who have developed stronger personal routines. These factors warrant deeper investigation to uncover **gender- and age-specific responses** to peer influence, which could inform more targeted health interventions in university settings.

**5.5 Implications for Public Health :** The findings of this study carry important implications for **public health strategies**, particularly in the context of rising **non-communicable diseases (NCDs)** such as obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular issues among youth. Peer influence, often seen as a risk factor, can instead be **mobilized as a preventive tool**.

By embedding nutrition awareness into **peer-led programs**, universities can tap into natural social structures to encourage healthier behaviors. For example, peer ambassadors or student wellness leaders could organize workshops, lead social media campaigns, or host healthy cooking events. These initiatives would resonate more with students than top-down health messages, as they leverage the credibility and relatability of peer role models.

Moreover, understanding peer influence helps in the **design of campus food environments**. If students are influenced by what their peers are eating, then creating **visible, accessible, and affordable healthy food options** can set the tone for a health-promoting culture. Small shifts—like grouping healthy foods together, offering group meal discounts, or highlighting nutrition facts—can have a ripple effect when peers reinforce those choices within their social circles.

In the larger public health landscape, this study supports the idea that **behavioral interventions must account for social contexts**. Individual responsibility for diet is important, but it is often shaped, enabled, or hindered by peer environments. Therefore, policies and programs that aim to address youth nutrition should actively integrate **peer dynamics** into their models.

**6. Recommendations and Implications :** Based on the findings of this study, it is evident that peer influence plays a pivotal role in shaping the dietary behaviors of university students. Most notably, this influence is often positive, providing an opportunity for educational institutions to harness peer dynamics to improve student nutrition. The following recommendations are proposed to translate these insights into practical interventions and policies that promote healthier eating habits on university campuses.

**6.1 Peer-Led Nutrition Programs :** One of the most effective strategies to leverage peer influence is to develop **peer-led nutrition education programs**. Universities should identify and train student ambassadors who are passionate about health and nutrition. These students can lead **nutrition clubs, conduct workshops, and host awareness campaigns** across the campus. Since students are more likely to listen to and emulate their peers, peer educators serve as relatable and trustworthy figures who can influence behavior more effectively than external authorities. These programs can also serve as safe spaces for students to discuss their food habits, share health goals, and receive peer support.

**6.2 Group-Based Health Challenges :** Another approach to promote engagement and behavior change is the introduction of **group-based healthy eating challenges**. Universities can organize **campus-wide competitions** where student groups compete to meet nutritional goals, such as eating five servings of fruits and vegetables daily, reducing sugar intake, or preparing home-cooked meals for a week. Such challenges can be made interactive through digital platforms or student portals, encouraging participants to share their progress through photos, blogs, or short videos. Offering small rewards or recognition can further motivate students to participate, making healthy eating a fun, collaborative experience.

**6.3 Improve Campus Food Environments :** Creating an enabling environment is essential for supporting positive dietary choices. Universities should work toward improving **campus food infrastructure** by offering **subsidized nutritious food options** in cafeterias and vending machines. Meals should include a variety of choices to cater to different dietary needs (vegetarian, vegan, gluten-free, etc.), ensuring inclusivity. Additionally, implementing **clear nutritional labeling**—including calorie counts, sugar levels, and allergen

information—can empower students to make informed choices. The availability of healthy food, combined with peer encouragement, can help normalize better eating habits across campus.

**6.4 Integrate Nutrition into Curriculum :** To instill long-term awareness, universities should **integrate basic nutrition education into the academic curriculum**. This could be through short modules in orientation programs, elective courses, or part of general health and wellness classes. These sessions should cover practical topics such as reading food labels, meal planning, dietary requirements, and the impact of food choices on physical and mental health. When students understand the science behind nutrition and its relevance to their academic performance and well-being, they are more likely to take responsibility for their dietary decisions.

**6.5 Promote Open Conversations ;** Finally, fostering a culture where food and nutrition are openly discussed can reinforce healthy behavior. Universities should use **digital platforms, student clubs, and peer forums** to facilitate conversations around diet, health trends, and food-related challenges. Social media campaigns—led by students—can help challenge misinformation and share evidence-based tips on eating well. Encouraging peer-led blogs, podcasts, or vlogs focused on healthy lifestyles can further amplify the message and build a community committed to wellness.

**7. Conclusion ;** This study set out to explore the role of peer influence on the nutritional habits of university students, using Amity University as a case study. The findings clearly demonstrate that **peer influence is a powerful determinant of food behavior**, shaping what students eat, how often they eat, and their attitudes toward health and nutrition. Whether through shared meals, social modeling, group discussions, or digital engagement, peers significantly affect individual dietary choices.

One of the most important insights emerging from the research is the **predominantly positive impact of peer influence**. The vast majority of students reported that their peers motivated them to make healthier food choices, try nutritious alternatives, and engage in discussions about well-being. This indicates a shift in peer norms toward greater health consciousness and suggests that student communities are increasingly embracing wellness as a shared value.

The study highlights the **tremendous potential for leveraging social networks** to promote better campus nutrition. Given that students are already influenced by their peers in daily food-related decisions, universities have a unique opportunity to formalize this influence through peer-led education programs, healthy eating campaigns, and supportive campus environments.

To fully harness this potential, **institutional support and policy-level attention are crucial**. Universities must move beyond isolated awareness efforts and adopt holistic strategies that embed nutrition education, enhance food infrastructure, and empower peer leadership. By doing so, they can transform peer influence into a sustainable force for healthier lifestyles, not only within academic settings but also as students carry these habits into adulthood.

In conclusion, peer influence is not merely a social phenomenon—it is a strategic tool that, when properly guided, can become a cornerstone of public health interventions in university contexts.

Here is a properly formatted **\*\*References\*\*** section in **\*\*APA 7th Edition style\*\***, including all the cited works from your final report and the drafted chapters:

## 8. Appendices

### Appendix A: Survey Instrument

#### *Exploring the Role of Peer Influence on Nutritional Habits: Questionnaire*

1. Age:
  - ☐ 18–25
  - ☐ 26–30
  - ☐ Above 30
2. Gender:
  - ☐ Male
  - ☐ Female
  - ☐ Other
3. Educational Level:
  - ☐ Undergraduate
  - ☐ Graduate
  - ☐ Postgraduate
  - ☐ Employed
4. Living Situation:
  - ☐ On-campus hostel
  - ☐ Off-campus rented accommodation
  - ☐ At home with family
5. How often do you share meals with your friends or peers?
  - ☐ Always
  - ☐ Sometimes
  - ☐ Rarely
  - ☐ Never
6. How often do your peers influence your food choices?
  - ☐ Very often
  - ☐ Occasionally
  - ☐ Rarely
  - ☐ Never
7. How frequently do you discuss health and nutrition with your peer group?
  - ☐ Frequently
  - ☐ Occasionally
  - ☐ Rarely
  - ☐ Never
8. Have you ever tried new foods or dietary trends because of your peers?
  - ☐ Yes, many times
  - ☐ A few times

- Rarely
  - Never
9. Do your peers influence your preference for junk food, healthy food, or both?
- Junk food
  - Healthy food
  - Both equally
  - Neither
10. How often do you skip meals due to peer-related social activities?
- Frequently
  - Occasionally
  - Rarely
  - Never
11. Do you feel peer pressure to eat or avoid certain types of food?
- Strongly agree
  - Agree
  - Neutral
  - Disagree
  - Strongly disagree
12. Are you motivated by your peers to adopt healthier eating habits?
- Yes
  - No
13. How would you rate the nutrition awareness of your peer group?
- Very high
  - High
  - Moderate
  - Low
  - Very low
14. What is the overall impact of peer influence on your eating habits?
- Positive
  - Negative
  - No impact
15. On a scale from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high), rate the degree of peer influence on your dietary decisions.

#### **Appendix B: Ethical Clearance**

- The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Amity University (Approval Number: [Insert Number]).
- All participants provided informed consent prior to completing the survey, with assurances of confidentiality and anonymity.



- Data collection complied with ethical standards for research involving human subjects.

## 9. References

1. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *\*Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes\**, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
2. Ball, K., Jeffery, R. W., Abbott, G., McNaughton, S. A., & Crawford, D. (2010). Is healthy behavior contagious? Associations of social norms with physical activity and healthy eating. *\*International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity\**, 7, 86. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-7-86>
3. Bandura, A. (1977). *\*Social learning theory\**. Prentice-Hall.
4. Banna, J. C., Buchthal, O. V., Delormier, T., Creed-Kanashiro, H. M., & Penny, M. E. (2015). Influences on eating: A qualitative study of adolescents in a periurban area in Lima, Peru. *\*BMC Public Health\**, 16, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-2744-4>
5. Bowker, J. C. (2012). Peers and obesity during childhood and adolescence: A review of the empirical and theoretical literature. *\*Developmental Review\**, 32(4), 423–444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2012.09.001>
6. Cluskey, M., & Grobe, D. (2009). College weight gain and behavior transitions: Male and female differences. *\*Journal of the American Dietetic Association\**, 109(2), 325–329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2008.10.045>
7. Cruwys, T., Bevelander, K. E., & Hermans, R. C. J. (2015). Social modeling of eating: A review of when and why social influence affects food intake and choice. *\*Appetite\**, 86, 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.08.035>
8. Deliens, T., Clarys, P., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., & Deforche, B. (2014). Determinants of eating behavior in university students: A qualitative study using focus group discussions. *\*BMC Public Health\**, 14, 53. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-53>
9. Ekubagewargies, D. T., Lee, P., Vincze, L., & Ahmed, F. (2024). How do individual and environmental factors influence adolescent dietary behaviour in Ethiopia? A qualitative exploration from schools in Addis Ababa. *\*Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior\**. [Forthcoming]
10. Fitzgerald, A., Heary, C., Kelly, C., Nixon, E., & Shevlin, M. (2013). Self-efficacy for healthy eating and peer support for unhealthy eating are associated with adolescents' food intake patterns. *\*Appetite\**, 63, 48–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.12.011>
11. Herman, C. P., Roth, D. A., & Polivy, J. (2003). Effects of the presence of others on food intake: A normative interpretation. *\*Psychological Bulletin\**, 129(6), 873–886. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.6.873>
12. Herman, C. P., & Polivy, J. (2008). External cues in the control of food intake in humans: The sensory-normative distinction. *\*Physiology & Behavior\**, 94(5), 722–728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2008.04.014>
13. Higgs, S. (2015). Social norms and their influence on eating behaviours. *\*Appetite\**, 86, 38–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.10.021>

14. Lally, P., Bartle, N., & Wardle, J. (2011). Social norms and diet in adolescents. *\*Appetite\**, 57(3), 717–720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.08.013>
15. Levitsky, D. A., Halbmaier, C. A., & Mrdjenovic, G. (2004). The freshman weight gain: A model for the study of the epidemic of obesity. *\*International Journal of Obesity\**, 28(11), 1435–1442. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0802776>
16. Mikolajczyk, R. T., Ansari, W. E., & Maxwell, A. E. (2009). Food consumption frequency and perceived stress and depressive symptoms among students in three European countries. *\*Nutrition Journal\**, 8, 31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2891-8-31>
17. Papier, K., Ahmed, F., Lee, P., & Wiseman, J. (2015). Stress and dietary behaviour among first-year university students in Australia: Sex differences. *\*Nutrition\**, 31(2), 324–330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2014.08.004>
18. Perkins, H. W., & Berkowitz, A. D. (1986). Perceiving the community norms of alcohol use among students: Some research implications for campus alcohol education programming. *\*International Journal of the Addictions\**, 21(9–10), 961–976. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10826088609077249>
19. Robinson, E., Thomas, J., Aveyard, P., & Higgs, S. (2013). What everyone else is eating: The role of social norms in dietary decisions. *\*Appetite\**, 65, 83–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2013.01.017>
20. Salvy, S. J., De La Haye, K., Bowker, J. C., & Hermans, R. C. J. (2009). Influence of peers and friends on children's and adolescents' eating and activity behaviors. *\*Physiology & Behavior\**, 106(3), 369–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.01.022>
21. Sharps, M. A., Higgs, S., & Blissett, J. (2020). The effect of social media influencers on children's food intake. *\*Appetite\**, 146, 104501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104501>
22. Stok, F. M., de Vet, E., de Ridder, D. T. D., & de Wit, J. B. F. (2016). The potential of peer social norms to shape food intake in adolescents and young adults: A systematic review of effects and moderators. *\*Health Psychology Review\**, 10(3), 326–340. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2016.1155161>
23. Tam, R., Yassa, B., Parker, H., O'Connor, H., Allman-Farinelli, M., & Peeters, A. (2017). University students' on-campus food purchasing behaviors, preferences, and opinions on food availability. *\*Nutrition\**, 43–44, 63–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2017.05.011>
24. Xu, J. (2022). The roles of family and school members in influencing children's eating behaviours in China: A narrative review. *\*Children\**, 9(3), 315. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children903031>